Michigan Deptartment of Treasury 496 (2-04) Auditing Procedures Report Issued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended.

Local Gov	emment Type Tow		□Wilage	☑ Other	Local Government Name MOA SOLID WASTE MGT AUTHORITY		MONTMORENCY		DRENCY	
Audit Date 12/31/0			Opinion Date Date Accountant Report Submitted to State: 6/12/06 6/29/06							
accordar	ice with the statement	he Sta	tements of	the Govern	mental Accou	government and rendered unting Standards Board (o ent in Michigan by the Michi	GASB) and t	he Unifor	m Repo	
		lied wi	th the Bullet	in for the Au	idits of Local (Units of Government in Mic	higan as revis	ed.		
2. We a	are certifie	d publi	c accountant	ts registere	d to practice in	n Michigan.				
	er affirm th ts and rec			esponses h	nave been disc	dosed in the financial state	ments, includi	ng the no	tes, or in	the report of
You mus	t check the	applic	able box for	each item t	pelow.					
Yes	√ No	1. 0	ertain comp	onent units	funds/agencie	es of the local unit are exclu	ided from the	financial	stateme	nts.
Yes	✓ No		here are ac 75 of 1980).		deficits in one	e or more of this unit's unr	eserved fund	balances	/retained	d earnings (P.A
Yes	√ No		here are in mended).	stances of	non-complian	ce with the Uniform Accord	unting and B	udgeting	Act (P.A	. 2 of 1968, a
Yes	✓ No					itions of either an order is r the Emergency Municipal		the Muni	cipal Fir	nance Act or it
Yes	√ No					ents which do not comply of 1982, as amended [MCL		requiren	nents. (F	P.A. 20 of 1943
Yes	✓ No	6. T	he local unit	has been o	delinquent in d	listributing tax revenues that	t were collect	ed for and	other tax	ing unit.
Yes	√ No	7. p	ension bene	fits (norma	l costs) in the	fitutional requirement (Artic current year. If the plan is requirement, no contribution	more than	100% fund	ded and	the overfunding
Yes	✓ No		he local un MCL 129.24		dit cards and	has not adopted an appli	cable policy	as require	ed by P.	A. 266 of 199
Yes	✓ No	9. T	he local unit	has not ad	opted an inve	stment policy as required b	y P.A. 196 of	1997 (MC	L 129.9	5).
We have	enclosed	d the fo	ollowing:				Enclosed		Be arded	Not Required
The lette	er of comm	ents a	nd recomme	ndations.			1			
Reports	on individ	ual fed	eral financial	assistance	programs (pr	ogram audits).				1
Single A	udit Repo	rts (AS	LGU).							✓
-3000000	AS R. ZI									
Street Add				N2	9	LEWISTON		State	2P 497	756
Accountar	nt Signatura		7/	2/	34	1		Date 6/29/0	6	

MONTMORENCY-OSCODA-ALPENA SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

MONTMORENCY-OSCODA-ALPENA SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY

CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	2-4
COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS	5
COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF REVENUE, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS	6
COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS	7-8
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	9-16
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	
COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF SUPPLIES AND OPERATING EXPENSES	17
FINANCIAL AUDIT COMMENTS	18-19



Thomas R. Zick CPA, P.C. CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

P.O. BOX 149, 2947 MANTZ STREET LEWISTON, MICHIGAN 49756 TELEPHONE: (989) 786-4032

FAX: (989) 786-4032

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

June 12, 2006

Montmorency-Oscoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management Authority Board of Directors Atlanta, Michigan 49709

I have audited the accompanying Statement of Net Assets of Montmorency-Oscoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management Authority, a component unit of Montmorency County, as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the related statements of revenue and expenses, changes in Net Assets, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Authority's management. My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audit.

I conducted my audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. I believe that my audit provides a reasonable basis for my opinion.

In my opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Montmorency-Oscoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management Authority as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

My audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the component unit financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying financial information listed as Supplemental Information in the Table of Contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the component unit financial statements of the Montmorency-Oscoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management Authority. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the component unit financial statements, and in my opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the component unit financial statements, taken as a whole.

The management's discussion and analysis on pages 2-4 is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplemental information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. I have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, I did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

THOMAS R. ZICK CPA, P.C. Certified Public Accountant

MEMBER: AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPAS & MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF CPAS

MONTMORENCY-OSCODA-ALPENA SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Our discussion and analysis of the Montmorency-Oscoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management authority's financial performance provides an overview of the Authority's financial activities for the year ended December 31, 2005. Please read it in conjunction with the financial statements, which begin on page 5.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The Authority's net assets were reported for the first time under GASB 34 as of December 31, 2004. There were no significant changes to the authority's financial statements other than changing the classification of retained earnings to net assets so comparative numbers for the year ended December 31, 2004 are shown using the GASB 34 format.

Net assets for the authority as a whole were reported at \$1,687,589 which was an increase of \$637,523 from 2004. Net assets are comprised of 100% business-type activities.

During the year, the authority's total expenses were \$1,190,889 while revenues from all sources totaled \$1,828,412 resulting in an increase in net assets of \$637,523.

USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets provide information about the activities of the Authority as a whole and present a longer term view of the authority's finances.

Reporting the Facility as a Whole

One of the most important questions asked about the Authority's finances is "Is the Authority as a whole better off or worse off as a result of the year's activities?" The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets report information about the Authority as a whole and about its activities in a way that helps answer this question. These statements include all assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid. These two statements report the Authority's net assets and changes in them. You can think of the authority's net assets – the difference between assets and liabilities – as one way to measure the Authority's financial health, or financial position. Over time, Increases or decreases in the Authority's net assets are one indicator whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. You will need to consider other non-financial factors, however, such as changes in the Authority's operating base and the condition of the authority's capital assets, to assess the overall financial health of the Authority.

In the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and changes in Net Assets, we report all of the Authority's activities as business-type activities because the Authority charges a fee to users to help it cover the cost of services it provides.

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (CONTINUED)

Table I provides a summary of the Authority's net assets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004

_	_			
	\neg	h	\sim	1
	ıa	u	ı	

12/31/05	12/31/04
\$ 4,218,469	\$ 3,432,796
1,667,486	1,994,441
5.005.055	5 407 007
5,885,955	5,427,237
334,276	325,232
3,864,090	4,051,939
4,198,366	4,377,171
876,484	929,000
811,105	121,066
\$ 1,687,589	\$ 1,050,066
	\$ 4,218,469 1,667,486 5,885,955 334,276 3,864,090 4,198,366 876,484 811,105

Net Assets of the Authority's business-type activities were at \$1,687,589 and \$1,050,066 at December 31, 2005 and 2004 respectively. Unrestricted net assets – the part of net assets that could be used to finance day to day activities were at \$811,105 and \$121,066.

The results of this year's operations for the authority as a whole are reported in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and changes in Net Assets (see Table 2), which shows the changes in net assets for fiscal year 2005 and 2004.

Table 2

Changes in Net Assets		12/31/05	12/31/04	
Operating revenues: Service revenues	\$	1,710,603	\$	1,706,026
Operating expenses: Operations		1,155,222		1,358,447
Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses): Investment Income Interest Expense		117,809 (35,667)		83,165 (50,625)
Total Nonoperating Revenues (\expenses)		82,142		32,540
Increase (decrease) in net assets		637,523		380,119
Net Assets, Beginning		1,050,066		669,947
Net Assets, Ending	\$	1,687,589	\$	1,050,066

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (CONTINUED)

The Authority's total revenues were \$1,828,412 for 2005. The total cost of all programs and services was \$1,190,889 leaving an increase in net assets of \$637,523 as a result of fiscal year 2005 operations.

CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets

At the end of fiscal 2005, the Authority had capital assets of \$7,481,227 at cost with accumulated depreciation of \$5,813,741 invested in a variety of capital assets including land, buildings, and other equipment. This resulted in net capital assets of \$1,667,486 which is a decrease of \$326,955 from 2004.

Debt

The Authority has outstanding debt at December 31, 2005 in the form of bonds in the amount of \$340,000 and equipment installment loans totaling \$451,002. This was a decrease of \$274,439 from 2004.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS

In preparing the budget for the year ending December 31, 2005 there appears to be no major anticipated changes in operations although a new cell will not be constructed in 2006.

CONTACTING THE AUTHORITY FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designated to provide our taxpayers, users and creditors with a general overview of the authority's finances and to show the Authority's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Authority Administrator at Montmorency-Ocsoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management Authority, Atlanta, MI 49709.

MONTMORENCY-OSCODA-ALPENA SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

ASSETS	 2005	2004	
Current Assets:	 		
Cash demand and time deposits / imprest cash	\$ 139,677	\$	25,408
Investments	1,986,136		1,407,770
Restricted cash	1,928,884		1,160,403
Restricted investments	-		644,508
Interest receivable	-		11,432
Prepaid expenses	2,454		4,004
Accounts receivable - (net of reserve for bad debts)	 161,318		179,271
Total Current Assets	 4,218,469		3,432,796
Property, Plant, and Equipment:			
Land	164,561		164,561
Landfill improvements/buildings	5,805,713		5,793,659
Equipment	1,510,953		1,480,118
Less: accumulated depreciation	 (5,813,741)		(5,443,897)
Net Property, Plant, and Equipment	 1,667,486		1,994,441
Total Assets	 5,885,955		5,427,237
LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY			
Current Liabilities;			
Accounts payable	22,936		32,055
Accrued expenses	16,213		18,738
Current portion of long-term debt	 295,127		274,439
Total Current Liabilities	 334,276		325,232
Long-Term Liabilities:			
Installment notes payable	451,002		575,441
Bond payable	340,000		490,000
Less current portion	(295,127)		(274,439)
Estimated liability for landfill closure and postclosure	, , ,		, , ,
care costs	 3,368,215		3,260,937
Total Long-Term Liabilities	 3,864,090		4,051,939
Total Liabilities	 4,198,366		4,377,171
Net Assets			
Retained Earnings:			
Invested in capital assets (net of related debt)	876,484		929,000
Unrestricted	 811,105		121,066
Net Assets	\$ 1,687,589	\$	1,050,066
	·		-

MONTMORENCY-OSCODA-ALPENA SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF REVENUE, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND COMPARISON TO DECEMBER 31, 2004

	2005	2004		
Operating Revenue:				
Charges for services	\$ 1,710,603	\$ 1,706,026		
Total Operating Revenue	1,710,603	1,706,026		
Operating Expenses:				
Salaries and wages	171,151	173,946		
Fringe benefits	114,153	104,756		
Other operating expenses	327,928	433,532		
Supplies	64,868	50,321		
Depreciation	369,844	437,756		
Landfill closure and postclosure care costs	107,278	158,136		
Total Operating Expenses	1,155,222	1,358,447		
Operating Income	555,381	347,579		
Nonoperating Revenue (Expenses):				
Interest revenue	117,809	83,165		
Interest expense	(35,667)	(50,625)		
Total Nonoperating Revenue (Expenses)	82,142	32,540		
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	637,523	380,119		
Total Net Assets - January 1	1,050,066	669,947		
Total Net Assets - December 31	\$ 1,687,589	\$ 1,050,066		

MONTMORENCY-OSCODA-ALPENA SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND COMPARISON TO DECEMBER 31, 2004

	2005	2004
Cash Flows From Operating Activities: Cash received from customers Cash payments for goods and services Cash payments to employees for services/fringes	\$ 1,728,556 (401,915) (284,258)	\$ 1,703,517 (490,443) (277,481)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities	 1,042,383	 935,593
Cash Flows From Capital and Related Financing Activities: Acquisition of capital assets Interest paid on equipment loans and bond debt Principal paid on long-term debt	 (42,889) (37,688) (274,439)	(751,773) (52,350) (503,741)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Capital and Related Financing Activities	 (355,016)	 (1,307,864)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Interest on investments Increase in restricted cash Net Cash Provided (Used) by Investing Activities	 129,241 (123,973) 5,268	 71,734 (90,398) (18,664)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	692,635	(390,935)
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of Year	 1,433,178	 1,824,113
Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of Year	\$ 2,125,813	\$ 1,433,178

MONTMORENCY-OSCODA-ALPENA SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED) YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND COMPARISON TO DECEMBER 31, 2004

	2005	2004
Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities: Operating Income	\$ 555,381	\$ 347,579
Adjustments to Reconcile Operating Income to Net Cash		
Provided by Operating Activities:		
Depreciation	369,844	437,756
Change in Assets and Liabilities		
(Increase) Decrease in Accounts Receivable	17,953	(2,509)
Increase (Decrease) in Accounts Payable	(9,119)	(6,590)
Increase (Decrease) in Accrued Liabilities	 108,324	 159,357
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities	\$ 1,042,383	\$ 935,593

NOTE A - NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Montmorency-Oscoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management Authority is a component unit of Montmorency County and is reported in the County financial report as a discretely presented component unit.

The Montmorency-Oscoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management Authority was incorporated during 1998, with by-laws adopted effective August 5, 1998. The Authority is the successor entity to a joint venture between Montmorency and Oscoda Counties and is considered a component unit of Montmorency County which, under GASB #14 is discretely presented as a proprietary(enterprise) fund type. The Authority was incorporated under the provisions of Act No. 233 of 1955 and was created by the counties of Montmorency, Oscoda, and Alpena. The registered office is the Office of the Treasurer of Montmorency County. Each entity appoints two representatives to the Authority Board, one of whom shall be a member of the legislative body of the municipality. The other appointed individual may, but need not be a member of the legislative body of the municipality. The Authority operates a landfill.

The Authority articles of incorporation specifically stated its intention to assume the assets and liabilities of the joint venture between Montmorency and Oscoda County. In the event of dissolution the assets of the Authority shall be sold and the balance equally divided among the municipalities.

The employees of the Authority participate in all of the fringe benefit programs that Montmorency county employees are offered and information shown in subsequent notes to these financial statements cover Authority Board employees along with the general county employees. The Authority has its own account with the retirement program as further described in Note E.

<u>Basis of Presentation</u> – The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) in statement No. 34., Basic Financial Statements – and Managements' discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments, issued June 1999. The Authority now follows the "business-type" activities reporting requirements of GASB No. 34. There was no impact to the net assets of the Authority in adopting GASB No. 34. The Authority has been a full accrual enterprise fund and therefore has prepared its financial fund statements on this basis since inception. The only changes were to add Managements' discussion and Analysis and changing retained earnings to net assets and increase in net assets.

<u>Use of Estimates</u> – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An example of an estimate would be the liability for landfill closure and post closure costs. Actual results could differ from these estimated amounts.

<u>Cash and Cash Equivalents</u> - Cash and cash equivalents include investments in highly liquid instruments with an original maturity of three months or less. It does not include restricted cash or restricted investments.

<u>Property and Equipment</u> - All property and equipment is valued at historical cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method with the expense being charged against operations over their estimated useful lives.

NOTE B - CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The Authority's deposits and investments are shown in the accompanying balance sheet under the following classifications:

	<u>2005</u>	2004
Imprest cash	\$ 500	\$ 500
Cash and time deposits	139,177	24,908
Investments	1,986,136	1,407,770
Restricted cash	1,928,884	1,160,403
Restricted Investments		644,508
Total	\$ 4,054,697	\$ 3,238,089

The above amounts are classified by Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement Number 3 in the following categories:

	<u>2005</u>	2004
Bank deposits - with County Treasurer	\$ 2,068,061	\$ 1,185,311
Imprest cash	500	500
Investments	<u>1,986,136</u>	2,052,278
Total	<u>\$ 4,054,697</u>	\$ 3,238,089

Bank deposits - at County - The funds are under the control of the County Treasurer who has deposited those funds with a federally insured depository. It is impractical to determine the amount covered by FDIC Insurance, if any, as these funds are only a portion of the total County deposits.

Investments - The County is authorized by Michigan Public Act 20 of 1943 (as amended) to invest surplus funds in U.S. Bonds and Notes, certain commercial paper, bankers acceptances, and mutual fund investment pools that are comprised of authorized investments. The County Treasurer invests those funds on behalf of the Authority. At December 31, 2005 all of the Authority investments consisted of a bank municipal investment fund and were authorized under state statute. At December 31, 2005, and 2004 investments were categorized as follows:

Investment summary:	2005	2004
National City Bank Sweep Account/Municipal Investment Fund	\$ 1,986,136	\$ 1,407,770
Categorized investments – U.S. government Securities	-0-	-0-
Dreyfus Mutual fund Money Market	-0-	644,508
Total Investments	<u>\$ 1,986,136</u>	<u>\$ 2,052,278</u>

Restricted Cash – At December 31, 2005 certificates of deposit amounting to \$1,928,884 have been placed into an escrow account at National City Bank under the direction of the Director of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, which assures that monitoring of the landfill site will have sufficient funds if needed in future years. The director of the Department is the beneficiary on the escrow account. The escrow account was established to hold the investments/cash amounts and all earnings must accrue to the escrow account. The Director must approve any disbursements from the account as well as the County Treasurer.

The County Treasurer has the responsibility for investing Authority funds.

NOTE B - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)

Credit Risk

State law limits investments in certain types of investments to a prime or better rating issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations (NRSRO's). The county's investments all meet State statutes.

Interest Rate Risk

The County has not adopted a policy that indicates how the County will minimize interest rate risk, which is the risk that the market value of securities in the portfolio will fall due to changes in market interest rates, by limiting the weighted average maturity of its investment portfolio to less than a given period of time.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The County has not adopted a policy that indicates how the County will minimize concentration of credit risk, which is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of the County;s investment in a single issuer, by diversifying the investment portfolio so that the impact of potential losses from any one type of security or issuer will be minimized.

Custodial Credit Risk

The County has not adopted a policy that indicates how the County will minimize custodial credit risk, which is the risk that in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the County will not be able to recover the value of its investments of collateral securities that are in possession of an outside party.

NOTE C - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of the property, plant, and equipment of the Solid Waste Management Authority as of December 31, 2004 is as follows:

	Balance			Balance
	1/1/05	Additions	Deletions	12/31/05
Land and landfill improvements	\$ 5,958,220	\$ 12,054	\$ -0-	\$ 5,970,274
Equipment	<u>1,480,118</u>	<u>30,835</u>	-0-	<u>1,510,953</u>
Total Costs Less accumulated	7,438,338	42,889	-0-	7,481,227
depreciation	<u>(5,443,897</u>)	(369,844)	-0-	<u>(5,813,741</u>)
Net Carrying amount	<u>\$ 1,994,441</u>	<u>\$ (326,955)</u>	\$ -0-	<u>\$ 1,667,486</u>

Depreciable Life

Landfill Improvements based upon percentage filled Equipment 7&10 years

Depreciation expense was \$369,844 for 2005 and \$437,756 for 2004.

NOTE D - POST EMPLOYMENT HEALTH CARE BENEFITS

In addition to pension benefits described in Note D, the county employment agreement with the Sheriffs department employees and the personnel policy for other county employees provides for certain post employment health care coverage. All county employees must have 15 years of service and be fifty five to receive the benefits. Benefits are received for life for the retiree only. No dependent care coverage is paid for by the County. During 2005 two individuals were eligible for these benefits and the total cost incurred approximated \$10,252. This was paid on behalf of former eligible Authority employees.

NOTE E - PENSION PLAN

In previous years the Authority employees were participants in the Montmorency County pension plan. Pension contributions from the Authority for 2005 and 2004 respectively were \$24,218 and \$23,618. In early 2003 the Authority separated their plan from Montmorency County. The December 31, 2004 actuarial report is used in this report. The December 31, 2005 report is not yet available from MERS.

<u>Plan Description</u> – The Authority participates in a defined benefit retirement plan administered by the Municipal Employee's Retirement System (MERS). The plan covers substantially all full-time employees.

The Municipal Employees Retirement System of Michigan (MERS) is a multiple-employer statewide public employee retirement plan created by the State of Michigan to provide retirement, survivor and disability benefits, on a voluntary basis to the State's local government employees in the most efficient and effective manner possible. As such, MERS is a non-profit entity which has the responsibility of administering the law in accordance with the expressed intent of the Legislature and bears a fiduciary obligation to the State of Michigan, the taxpayers and the public employees who are its beneficiaries.

The passage of HB-5525/Act No. 220, with enactment on May 28, 1996, allowed the members of MERS to vote on and determine if MERS should become an independent public corporation. The vote resulted in approval to become independent of State control and MERS began to operate as an independent public corporation effective August 15, 1996. MERS issues a financial report, available to the public, that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the system. A copy of the report may be obtained by writing to MERS at 447 N. Canal Road, Lansing, Michigan 49817.

All full time Authority employees are eligible to participate in the system. Benefits vest after ten years of service. Authority employees who retire at or after age 55 with 15 years credited service are entitled to an annual retirement benefit, payable monthly for life, in an amount equal to 2.25% of the members 5-year final average compensation.

Participating Authority employees are not required to contribute to the system. The Authority is required to contribute the amounts necessary to fund the Michigan Municipal Employees Retirement System using the actuarial basis specified by State Statute.

Actuarial Accrued Liability - The actuarial accrued liability was determined as part of an actuarial valuation of the plan as of December 31, 2004. Significant actuarial assumptions used in determining the investment of present and future assets of 8.0%, (a) inflation, and (b) additional projected salary increases of 0.0% to 4.2% per year, depending on age, attributable to seniority/merit and (c) the assumption that benefits will increase 2.5% annually after retirement.

NOTE E - PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)

Actuarial Accrued Liability:

All entries are based on the actuarial methods and assumption that were used in the December 31, 2004 actuarial valuation to determine the annual employer contribution amounts. the entry age normal actuarial method was used to determine the entries at disclosure.

GASB 25 INFORMATION (as of 12/31/04)

Potter and the official annual to a self-tenth of the	Φ.	0
Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	\$	-0-
Terminated employees not yet receiving benefits		-0-
Non-vested terminated employees		-0-
Current employees:		
Accumulated employee contributions		
including allocated investment income		-0-
Employer financed		205,430
Total Actuarial accrued liability		205,430
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,
Net Assets Available for Benefits, at actuarial value		
(Market Value is \$141,662)		145,128
Unfunded (over funded) actuarial accrued liability	\$	60.302
Official dea (Over Furidea) actualital accract hability	Ψ	00,002
GASB 27 INFORMATION (as of 12/31/04)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		am. 1 2006
Fiscal year beginning	Jai	nuary 1, 2006
A	•	00 004
Annual required contribution (ARC)	\$	23,064

Contributions Required and Contributions Made

Amortization factor used - Underfunded Liabilities (30 years)

MERS funding policy provides for periodic employer contributions at actuarially determined rates that, expressed as percentages of annual covered payroll, are designed to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. The normal cost and amortization payment for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 were determined using the entry age normal actuarial funding method. Unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities, if any, were amortized as a level percent of payroll over a period of 30 years. The following table provides a schedule of contribution amounts and percentages for recent years. Only two years data is available because the Authority separated from Montmorency County in 2003.

0.053632

Annual Pension Cost

Year	Annual	Percentage	Net
Ended	Pension	Of ARC	Pension
December 31	Cost (ARC)	Contributed	Obligation
2003	\$ 25,222	100%	\$ -0-
2004	\$ 23,618	100%	\$ -0-
2005	\$ 23,784	100%	\$ -0-

The Authority was required to contribute \$23,784 for the year ended December 31, 2005. Payments were based on contribution calculations made by MERS.

NOTE E - PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)

Actuarial		Actuarial				
Valuation	Actuarial	Accrued	Unfunded			UAAL as a %
Date	Value of	Liability	AAL	Funded	Covered	Of Covered
December 31	Assets	(AAL)	(UAAL)	Ratio	Payroll	Payroll
2003	\$ 112,643	\$ 193,940	\$ 81,297	58%	\$ 159,821	51%
2004	\$ 145,128	\$ 205,430	\$ 60,302	71%	\$ 157,396	38%

For actuarial valuation purposes, the actuarial value of assets is determined on the basis of a calculation method that assumes the fund earns the expected rate of return (8%), and includes an adjustment to reflect market value.

Actuarial assumptions for MERS valuations were revised for the 1993, 1997, and 2000 valuations. The funding method was changed to entry age normal for the 1993 valuation.

NOTE F - CLOSURE AND POSTCLOSURE CARE COSTS

State and federal laws and regulations require that the Solid Waste Management Authority place a final cover on its landfill when closed and perform certain maintenance and monitoring functions at the landfill site for thirty years after closure. In addition to operating expenses related to current activities of the landfill, an expense provision and related liability are being recognized based on the future closure and postclosure care costs that will be incurred near or after the date the landfill no longer accepts waste. The recognition of these landfill closure and postclosure care costs is based on the amount of the landfill used during the year. The estimated liability for landfill closure and postclosure care costs has a balance of \$3,368,215 as of December 31, 2005, which is based on all cells completed except for the current cell A - Phase II which is 98% filled based upon engineer estimates. At recent rates of refuse received the current cell has an estimated one month remaining capacity. It is estimated that an additional \$40,262 will be recognized as closure and postclosure care expenses between the date of the balance sheet and the date the current cell is expected to be filled to capacity. The estimated total current cost of the landfill closure and postclosure care \$3,408,477 is based on the amount that would be paid if all equipment, facilities, and services required to close, monitor, and maintain the landfill were acquired as of December 31, 2005. However, the actual cost of closure and postclosure care may be higher due to inflation, changes in technology, or changes in landfill laws and regulations. Authority completed construction of an additional cell which began being filled in July of 2002 and was 98% filled according to engineer estimates at December 31, 2005. Another cell is complete and began accepting waste as of February 1, 2006.

The Solid Waste Management Authority is required by state and federal laws and regulations to make annual contributions to finance closure and postclosure care. The Authority feels it is in compliance with these requirements, and at December 31, 2005, certificates of deposit in the amount of \$1,928,884 are held for these purposes. It is anticipated that future inflation costs will be financed in part from earnings on investments. The remaining portion of anticipated future inflation costs (including inadequate earnings on investments, if any) and additional costs that might arise from changes in postclosure requirements (due to changes in technology or more rigorous environmental regulations, for example) may need to be covered by charges to future landfill users, taxpayers, or both. Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 18 describes the accounting requirements for solid waste landfill closure and postclosure care costs. The estimated expense for closure and postclosure care costs for 2005 was \$107,278.

NOTE G - LONG-TERM DEBT

CHANGES IN LONG-TERM DEBT

2002 Bond Lease/Purchase	12/31/04 490,000 575,441	Additions -0- -0-	Reductions 150,000 124,439	12/31/05 340,000 451,002
Total	\$ 1.065.441	\$ -0-	\$ 274.43 <u>9</u>	\$ 791.002

<u>DESCRIPTION</u> - Series 2002 General Obligation Limited Tax Bonds were issued to be used to finance the cost of a new landfill cell. It is anticipated that revenue from the Authority will be sufficient to repay the bonds as they come due, however, the three counties involved have pledged to levy ad valorem taxes if necessary to repay the bonds.

	Interest			
<u>Year</u>	<u>Rates</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	Total
2006	2.80%	165,000	10,045	175,045
2007	3.10%	<u>175,000</u>	5,425	180,425
				_
	Total	<u>\$ 340,000</u>	<u>\$ 15,470</u>	<u>\$ 355,470</u>

<u>DESCRIPTION</u> - In 2001 a 627 Caterpillar scraper was purchased with G. E. Credit Company providing the financing. A Bomag compactor was purchased in 2002 with Old National Bank providing the financing. In 2004 a 980GII loader was purchased with CAT Financial providing the lease/financing. The 980G11 contract calls for a balloon payment of \$126,000 on June 20, 2007. The G. E. Credit lease requires a balloon payment of \$110,000 after 72 monthly payments are made while the Old National Bank lease requires 5 payments beginning in January of 2003. The equipment has been capitalized and the outstanding balances are shown as installment loans in the financial statements. Interest rates vary between 3.75% and 5.75%.

Interest Rates	Outstandii Principal	ng 12/31/05 Interest
3.75/5.75	<u>\$ 451,002</u>	\$ 25,950
Total	<u>\$ 4</u> 7	76,952

Equipment Lease/Purchase Annual Debt Service Requirements:

	2006	2007	Total
Principal	\$ 130,127	\$ 320,875	\$ 451,002
Interest	<u> 18,205</u>	7,745	25,950
Totals	<u>\$ 148,332</u>	\$ 328,620	<u>\$ 476,952</u>

Monthly payments on the equipment are as follows:

980GII wheel loader \$ 3,031 627 scraper \$ 4,928 \$ 7,959 Annual payment on Bomag compactor is: \$ 52,827

NOTE G - LONG-TERM DEBT (CONTINUED)

		2006	Lone	g-Term Debt A 2007	<u>.nn</u>	ual Debt Service Req Total	<u>uiren</u>	<u>nents</u>
Principa Interest	I \$ 	295,127 28,250	\$	495,875 13,170	\$	791,002 41,420		
Total	\$	323,377	<u>\$</u>	509,045	\$	832,422		
				<u>Long-</u>	Te	rm Debt Summary 2005	_	2004
2002 Ge Installm		-	ı Limi	ted Tax Bonds	;	\$ 340,000 451,002	\$	490,000 575,441
-		Debt Current Por	tion		;	791,002 (295,127)	_	1,065,441 <u>(274,439</u>)
١	let L	.ong-Term [)ebt		;	\$ 495,87 <u>5</u>	<u>\$</u>	791,002

NOTE H - RISK MANAGEMENT

The Authority is exposed to risks of loss related to theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The government manages it's risk exposures and provides certain employee benefits through a combination of self-insurance programs, risk management pools and commercial insurance and excess coverage policies. Following is a summary of these self-insurance programs and risk management pool participation.

The Authority participates in the Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority (MMRMA) for general liability and property damage coverage. The MMRMA was established in January 1980, pursuant to laws of the State of Michigan which authorize local units of government to jointly exercise any power, privilege or authority which each might exercise separately. The purpose of the Authority is to provide cooperative and comprehensive risk financing and risk control services. The MMRMA provides risk management, underwriting, reinsurance and claim review and processing services for all member governments pursuant to its charter.

The government makes annual contributions to MMRMA based on actuarial studies using historical data and insurance industry statistics. Theses contributions are paid from the general fund (i.e., the Insurance Fund) using premiums paid into it by other funds of the government. Such contributions as received by MMRMA are allocated between its general and member retention funds. Economic resources in the MMRMA's general fund are expended for reinsurance coverage, claim payments and certain general and administrative costs. The Authority is a State pool member and has deductibles which differ for each type of coverage.

The Authority is a member of the Michigan Counties Workers' Compensation Fund. Full statutory coverage for worker's disability compensation and employers' liability is guaranteed by the fund for Michigan operations through authority granted by the State of Michigan under Chapter 6, Section 418.611.

At December 31, 2005, there were no claims which exceeded insurance coverage. The Authority had no significant reduction in insurance coverage from previous years.



MONTMORENCY-OSCODA-ALPENA SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF SUPPLIES AND OPERATING EXPENSES FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

SL	JPPL	LIES
----	-------------	------

<u> ES</u>			
	2005		2004
\$	2,829	\$	2,897
	5,222		4,574
	52,439		38,842
	2,121		1,700
	2,257		2,308
\$	64,868	\$	50,321
IC EYDENS	:EQ		
		Ф	9,185
Ψ	•	Ψ	18,000
			39,237
			22,870
			126,569
			1,926
	•		
	•		92,002
	33,418		51,091
	•		20,933
	2,445		2,632
	17,090		16,360
	14,497		14,428
	10,148		11,100
	7,471		6,961
	615		238
\$	327,928	\$	433,532
	\$ SEXPENSES SEXPENSE	\$ 2,829 5,222 52,439 2,121 2,257 \$ 64,868 NG EXPENSES \$ 7,168 18,000 12,700 30,065 62,554 1,386 5,522 52,682 33,418 52,167 2,445 17,090 14,497 10,148 7,471 615	\$ 2,829 \$ 5,222 \$ 52,439



Thomas R. Zick CPA, P.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

P.O. BOX 149, 2947 MANTZ STREET LEWISTON, MICHIGAN 49756 TELEPHONE: (989) 786-4032

FAX: (989) 786-4032

FINANCIAL AUDIT COMMENTS

June 12, 2006

Montmorency-Oscoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management Authority Montmorency County, Courthouse Annex Atlanta, Michigan, 49709

In planning and performing my audit of the component unit financial statements of Montmorency-Oscoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management Authority, for the year ended December 31, 2005, I considered its internal control structure in order to determine my auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing my opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control structure. However, I noted certain matters involving the internal control structure and its operation that I feel should be brought to your attention as follows:

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE / BILLING STATEMENTS

The accounts receivable posting process, while working, appears to still need additional accounting controls. When you implement your own accounting system a control total for accounts receivable will be entered during the billing process. Your new general ledger system will maintain the control total which will then be balanced to the detail aging.

LONG-TERM DEBT

The long-term debt total at December 31, 2005 is \$791,002 which has decreased by \$274,439 over the prior year. This debt still includes \$340,000 plus interest on the bonds for cell-A phase II, which was 98% full at the end of last year (December 31, 2005). The debt has been dramatically reduced, and by self funding the construction of the most recent cell, this should increase the Authority cash flow over the next several years.

ACCOUNTING PROCEDURES

The Authority needs to change its method of recording and processing certain accounting transactions. For example, during 2005 equipment purchases were charged as an expense but should have been capitalized as a fixed asset to be depreciated. The bond principle payments were classified as an expense and should have been posted as a reduction in the liability accounts and the payments on the equipment lease/purchases should be separated between interest and principle and posted to interest expense and as a debit to the liability account rather than the entire payment being posted as an expenditure.

MEMBER: AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPAS & MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF CPAS

Page 2 Montmorency-Oscoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management Authority June 12, 2006

MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT

The Department of Treasury has recently attempted to clarify the legality of payment for mileage reimbursement from home to the County building and back for county meetings. County commissioners can receive these reimbursements, however, it is Treasury's position that this is a personal expenditure under Internal Revenue Service guidelines as well as Michigan Income Tax requirements and should be included in the commissioner's W-2 as wage.

It is further their position that non-commissioners appointed to various boards such as housing, jury, etc. are not permitted to receive a mileage allowance. In the case of the Authority, the question is whether the board members are acting as commissioners or simply as board members of this legally created entity.

I suggest that the County get written verification from Treasury prior to instituting any changes in its present policy.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of Montmorency-Oscoda-Alpena Solid Waste Management Authority, management, and others within the administration as well as the Michigan Department of Treasury, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

THOMAS R. ZICK, CPA, P.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

rowl ful, CPA P.C.